

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/628,726	07/28/2000	TORU ITOH	106879	3339	
25944 7	590 01/17/2003				
	RRIDGE, PLC		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	- ·		CHANG, VICTOR S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	16.	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MK 14				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/628,726	ITOH ET AL.	i				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Victor S Chang	1771					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	December 2002 .						
<u>_</u>	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	an priority under 25 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/628,726 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

2. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claim 1, in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-11 are sufficiently related that a through search for the subject matter of any one Group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive. The Examiner reiterates that these inventions are distinct and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Newly amended claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

In the newly amended claim 1, lines 3-5, the phrase "closed-cell foam plastic material having a plastic material and foams within the plastic material, each of the foams within the plastic material being distributed independently each other from other foam" appears replete with redundant, vague and indefinite terms, and quite simply is not understood. Clarification of the structural relations between elements is requested. Additionally, it is well known that a closed-cell plastic foam inherently comprises independent cells which are distributed in the plastic. As such, this newly added phrase appears redundant.

Response to Amendment

6. Newly amended claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scoles et al. (US 5916469) either individually, or in view of Grimm et al. (US 5481091), substantially for the reasons set forth in section 8 of Paper No. 11, together with the following additional observations.

With respect to Applicants' Response arguing that Scoles and Grimm teach concepts unrelated to the instant claimed invention (Response, page 5, second complete paragraph and last bridging paragraph), it must be noted that Scoles and Grimm are believed to in combination disclose the invention as claimed. The fact that they disclose additional elements not claimed is irrelevant.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1771

1,50 VSC

January 16, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP-13001700